Subject: [Fwd: PCB Modification Classification]

From: James Bearzi < james bearzi@nmenv.state.nm.us>

Date: Tue, 20 May 2003 08:24:50 -0600

To: Chuck Noble <chuck noble@nmenv.state.nm.us>, Steve Zappe

<steve zappe@nmenv.state.nm.us>



James P. Bearzi
Chief
Hazardous Waste Bureau
New Mexico Environment Department
2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
Post Office Box 26110; Santa Fe, NM 87502
(505) 428-2512 phone
(505) 428-2567 fax

james bearzi@nmenv.state.nm.us

Subject: PCB Modification Classification From: "G. Petrie" < petrie@nukewatch.org > Date: Mon, 19 May 2003 17:12:55 -0600

To: "James Bearzi" < james bearzi@nmenv.state.nm.us>

CC: "Colin King" <colinking@nukewatch.org>, <jcoghlan@nukewatch.org>

James,

Good seeing you again. As per your request I am writing to you on my concerns over DOE's proposed classification of its PCB disposal modification. As a Class 1*, DOE has put NMED in an interesting position. As I am certain you are aware of, as a Class 1* NMED has no required timeline to process and approve, or disapprove this modification. Clearly Nuclear Watch of New Mexico is opposed to this modification.

With this said, we would be interested in knowing where NMED stands on this mod. As a Class 1* Nuke Watch is in a awkward position. If NMED intends on "sitting" on this modification for an extended period of time, and NMED is opposed to this modification we would be in favor of maintaining this current DOE classification request. However, if NMED is intending on approving this modification, then we would certainly request that this modification would be re-classified to a Class 2 at the very least. This is a substantial modification without any real explanation as to its needs, nor any real analysis of how this will not significantly endanger human health or the environment. In other words, this is an incomplete modification request and should not be given the benefit of the doubt.

Clearly I would be interested in hearing your thoughts on the matter. Please feel free to contact me within the next few days. I would also recommend that NMED make an effort to discuss this with all interested parties,

such as Nuke Watch, before a classification determination is made. I believe

that a meeting of groups and DOE officials, such as the meeting held over the $\,$

panel closure modification, would be appropriate for a modification such as this one.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to hearing from you.



Sincerely, Geoff Petrie

Geoffrey Petrie
Nuclear Watch of New Mexico
petrie@nukewatch.org
www.nukewatch.org
505.989.7342 - phone
505.989.7352 - fax

551 W. Cordova Rd. #808 Santa Fe, NM 87505